

MAY 18 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUAN SANCHEZ VAZQUEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-72826

Agency Nos. A75-260-526
A75-260-503

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 15, 2006 **

Before: B. FLETCHER, TROTT, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Juan Sanchez Vazquez and Obdulia Vazquez de Sanchez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' decision affirming without opinion an immigration judge's denial of their

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

04-72826

applications for cancellation of removal. Because we lack jurisdiction, we dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider petitioners' challenge to the agency's discretionary determination that they failed to demonstrate exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to their qualifying relatives. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

Moreover, petitioners have failed to raise a colorable constitutional claim to invoke our jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Falcon Carriche v. Ashcroft*, 350 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2003); *Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001).

DISMISSED.